



## Amendments to H.R. 3219: Make America Secure Appropriations Act, 2018 – Part II (Rep. Granger, R-TX)

CONTACT: [Matt Dickerson](#), 202-226-9718

### FLOOR SCHEDULE:

H.R. 3219 is expected to be considered beginning on July 26, 2017, under a [structured rule](#).

The rule makes [Rules Committee Print 115-30](#) the base bill for purposes of amendment. The Rules Committee Print includes the text of H.R. 3219, the Defense Appropriations bill (with a modification); H.R. 3162, the Legislative Branch appropriations bill; H.R. 2998, the Military Construction and Veterans' Affairs appropriations bill; and H.R. 3266, the Energy and Water Appropriations bill as reported by the House Appropriations Committee. The Rules Committee Print strikes a Rep. Barbara Lee amendment from the Defense Appropriations bill that would have sunset the [2001 Authorization of the Use of Military Force \(AUMF\)](#) and replaces it with a provision that requires a report from the President on the strategy to defeat Al-Qaeda, the Taliban, the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), including the adequacy of the current AUMFs in force.

The rule provides for two hours of general debate.

The rule makes in order 72 amendments to Division B (Legislative Branch), Division C (Military Construction and Veterans Affairs), and Division D (Energy and Water). The rule does not address amendments relating to Division A (Defense), which is expected to be addressed by a future rule.

The rule waives all points of order against the bill and the amendments that have been made in order.

The rule provides that the Chair of the Committee on Appropriations may offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments not yet disposed of. Amendments en bloc shall be debatable for 20 minutes.

The rule provides both the Chair and Ranking Member of the Committee on Appropriations the ability to each offer up to 20 pro forma amendments (to "strike the last word").

The rule provides that no further consideration of H.R. 3219 shall be in order except pursuant to a subsequent order of the House.

This rule does *not* address border wall funding. A future rule is expected to self-execute an amendment appropriating \$1.6 billion for construction of physical barriers along the southwest border of the United States.

The RSC Legislative Bulletin for the Rules Committee Print for H.R. 3219 can be found [here](#).

*Additional Amendments made in order under subsequent Rules will be summarized in future Legislative Bulletins.*

**Amendments Made in Order Under the Rule:**

**Division B: Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2018**

1. **Connolly (D-VA)**: Would increase funding for the Government Accountability Office (GAO) by \$1 million and decreases Members representational Allowances by \$1.022 million. The difference in levels between the increase and offsetting decreases in budget authority is to ensure that the amount of actual outlays authorized by the legislation is not increased. Under the standing rules of the House, appropriations amendments must not result in a net increase in either budget authority or outlays, which may vary as a result of different speeds of spending in different programs.
2. **Love (R-UT)**: Is meant to express the intent of Congress that \$11.025 million of the amount made available for Members Representational Allowances should be for “residential security systems that do not constitute structural improvements to Members’ homes”.
3. **Kildee (D-MI)**: Would increase funding for the House’s Wounded Warrior Program by \$250,000 (to \$2,750,000) and would decrease funding available for the Architect of the Capitol for capital construction and operations by \$250,000. The Wounded Warrior Program “provides 54 two-year fellowship positions for wounded veterans in Congressional offices”.
4. **Perry (R-PA)**: Would reduce funding for the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) by \$25.436 million. The amendment does not transfer the savings to the Spending Reduction Account. The underlying bill would provide \$48.5 million for the CBO. [Many conservatives](#) have expressed concerns regarding the accuracy of CBO’s estimates, particularly with respect to health care issues, and with CBO and the other official scorekeeping institutions’ lack of transparency and public accountability. Absent language transferring savings to the Spending Reduction Account, a subsequent amendment could utilize savings created by this amendment to offset increases in other accounts in the bill. Such an amendment would need to be made in order under a subsequent rule.

**Key Vote Yes:** [FreedomWorks](#)

5. **Griffith (R-VA)**: Would abolish the Budget Analysis Division of the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). This would eliminate 89 positions for a savings of \$15 million. The [Budget Analysis Division](#) produces cost estimates as well as prepares the CBO’s baseline on which those cost estimates are prepared. The amendment would transfer the duties of the Division to the Office of the Director of the CBO. This amendment is offered under the Holman Rule. [Many conservatives](#) have expressed concerns regarding the accuracy of CBO’s estimates, particularly with respect to health care issues, and with CBO and the other official scorekeeping institutions’ lack of transparency and public accountability.

**Key Vote Yes:** [FreedomWorks](#)

6. **Cicilline (D-RI)**: Is meant to express Congressional intent that \$100,000 of funding available for the Architect of the Capitol for capital construction and operations should be “to provide designated baby changing stations for members of the public who visit publicly accessible buildings controlled by the Architect of the Capitol, including in both male and female publicly

accessible bathrooms”.

7. [Takano \(D-CA\)](#): Would appropriate \$2.5 million to reinstate the Office of Technology Assessment and would reduce funding available for the Architect of the Capitol for capital construction and operations by \$2.647 million. The Office of Technology Assessment was created in 1972 to assist Congress on the applications of technology. It was abolished by Congressional Republicans after they gained the majority in 1994.
8. [Shea-Porter \(D-NH\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds to mail unsolicited mass mailings that are larger than 4 1/4 inches high x 6 inches long x 0.016 inches thick – approximately the size of a postcard.
9. [Russell \(R-OK\)](#): Would prohibit the deliver printed copies of the Federal Register to Congressional offices unless one is requested.
10. [Blackburn \(R-TN\)](#): Would reduce the amounts provided by the bill by one percent across-the-board. The amendment exempts funds for Capitol Police, Grounds and Security, and the House Sergeant at Arms from the reduction.

**Key Vote Yes:** [Club for Growth](#)

**Key Vote Yes:** [FreedomWorks](#)

### **Division C: Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, And Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018**

11. [Bergman \(R-MI\)](#): Would increase VA information technology systems development, modernization and enhancement by \$30 million and reduce Veterans Benefits Administration general operating expenses by \$30 million.
12. [Barr \(R-KY\)](#): Would increase general operating expenses for the Veterans Benefits Administration by \$5 million and would reduce VA general administration by \$5 million. According to the amendment sponsor, the funds are intended to be for the VA’s Equine Assisted Therapy Grant Program.
13. [Al Green \(D-TX\)](#): Would increase VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 by \$70 million and would decrease VA general administration by \$70 million. The additional funds for VA Medical Services would be in addition to the advance appropriations for FY 2018 made available by the [FY 2017 Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill](#).
14. [Kihuen \(D-NV\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$1.032 billion of VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 is “to emphasize the responsibility of the Department Veterans Affairs to provide services to veterans and maintain health care clinics in rural communities”.
15. [Beyer \(D-VA\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$2.5 million of VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 is intended to “develop a program to partner with organizations that provide outdoor experiences for veterans as part of a continuum of care that helps support veterans in developing a community of support to treat combat-related injuries.”
16. [Lujan Grishom \(D-NM\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$2 million of VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 is intended for “hiring more doctors, nurses, and medical staff at VA medical centers.”

17. [Norcross \(D-NJ\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$5 million of VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 is intended for “Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders(PTSD) research for the purpose of studying the issues affecting veterans with PTSD and an opioid dependency.” This account provides for medical services, while other accounts provide for research.
18. [Keating \(D-MA\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$5 million of Medical Community Care is intended to “ create an opioid abuse healthcare kit for community healthcare providers.”
19. [Nadler \(D-NY\)](#): Would strike Section 512 of the bill, which prohibits the use of funds for the renovation, expansion, or construction of any facility in the continental United States for the purpose of housing any individual who has been detained at Guantanamo Bay.
20. [Ratcliffe \(R-TX\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds to propose, plan for, or execute a new or additional round of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC).

According to the [Committee Report](#), “Department of Defense officials have stated an estimated 22 percent of Department of Defense facilities are in excess of mission requirements. This is a fiscally unsustainable diversion of scarce resources from readiness.”

President Trump’s [FY 2018 budget request](#) calls for a BRAC round beginning in 2021. The [Statement of Administration Policy](#) on the FY 2018 NDAA stated that “The Administration strongly objects to section 2702 [which would prohibit a BRAC round] and strongly urges Congress to provide BRAC authorization as requested so that DOD can ensure it is not wasting scarce resources on unneeded infrastructure.”

**Key Vote No:** [FreedomWorks](#)

21. [Brat \(R-VA\)](#): Would prohibit funds made available by the bill to purchase, breed, house, transport, or experiment on dogs as a part of a study that would cause pain. The amendment exempts training programs or studies of service dogs.
22. [Jackson-Lee \(D-TX\)](#): Would increase funding for VA Medical Services funding for FY 2018 by \$2 million and would decrease funding for VA information Technology Services by \$2.5 million.
23. [King \(R-IA\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds to enforce the Davis-Bacon Act requirements.

The [RSC Budget](#) would repeal Davis-Bacon, stating “Removing this job-killing requirement would increase job creation and allow taxpayer dollars to go further for infrastructure projects.”

**Key Vote Yes:** [Club for Growth](#)

**Key Vote Yes:** [FreedomWorks](#)

24. [Dunn \(R-FL\)](#): Would prohibit funds to pay a bonus to any Senior Executive Service employee of the VA.
25. [Jackson-Lee \(D-TX\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds in contravention of [current law](#) regarding training and outreach to homeless veterans.
26. [Connolly \(D-VA\)](#): Would prohibit the use of funds to charge a fee for a veterans identification card to any veteran that applies using a [DD-214 discharge form](#) and indicates that he is homeless.

## **Division D: Energy And Water Development And Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018**

27. **Bergman (R-MI)**: Would increase Army Corps of Engineers Investigations by \$1 million and would reduce Army Corps of Engineers Expenses by \$1 million. The Investigations account provides funding for collection and study of information prior to construction of projects and the Expenses Account provides funding for general administration of the Corps.
28. **Velazquez (D-NY)**: Would decrease the number of new Army Corps study starts that are related to navigational transportation savings and flood and storm damage reduction to four from five and would increase the number of new study starts related to environmental restoration to two from one.
29. **Lujan (D-NM)**: Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$10 million of Army Corps of Engineers Construction funding is intended to be for “environmental infrastructure for authorized reimbursements for projects with executed project cooperation agreements that have completed construction or where non-federal sponsors intend to use the funds for additional water resources development activities.”
30. **Welch (D-VT)**: Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$10 million of Army Corps of Engineers Construction funding is intended to be for “efforts to construct control gates, spillways, and dam safety improvements for aging flood control reservoirs built by the Army Corps of Engineers.”
31. **Francis Rooney (R-FL)**: Would increase Army Corps of Engineers Construction by \$118 million and would decrease the Energy Information Administration by \$118 million. This amendment would defund the Energy Information Administration.
32. **Mast (R-FL)**: Would increase Army Corps of Engineers Construction by \$500,000 and would decrease Army Corps of Engineers Operation and Maintenance by \$500,000.
33. **Curbelo (R-FL)**: Would increase and then decrease Army Corps of Engineers Construction by \$45 million. The amendment will be used to express Congressional intent that \$45 million of Army Corps of Engineers Construction funding is intended to be for an issue to be announced by the amendment sponsor during debate on the amendment.
34. **Nolan (D-MN)**: Would increase Army Corps Operation and Maintenance by \$325,000 and would decrease Army Corps of Engineers general expenses by \$325,000.
35. **Heck (D-WA)**: Would increase Army Corps Operation and Maintenance by \$500,000 and would reduce Army Corps of Engineers general expenses by \$500,000.
36. **Mitchell (R-MI)**: Would reduce by 10% the departmental administrative expense accounts of the USACE, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, Department of the Interior, and Department of Energy. Transfers the savings to the Spending Reduction Account.
37. **Beyer (D-VA), Etsy (D-CT)**: Would strike section 108 of Division D, which authorizes the EPA and Army Corps to withdraw the Waters of the United States rule.

Under current law, in order to rescind the Obama-era rule that grossly expanded the reach of the Clean Water Act and exerted federal control over nearly all bodies of water, including small, effluent streams or drainage, the EPA and Army Corps would be required to act in accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act. This law requires that the agencies must demonstrate that the withdrawal of the rule is not arbitrary or capricious, a task that is legally precarious given the evidentiary record produced by the Obama administration in support of making the rule. Section 108 would allow the administration to simply withdraw the rule without completing the APA process.

38. [Castor \(D-FL\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$177 million and decrease the department's Fossil Energy program by \$355 million.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. Fossil Energy Research and Development is appropriated \$634.6 million, a level that is \$354.6 million above the president's budget request, and \$33.4 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating both of the programs involved in this transfer, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

39. [Norcross \(D-NJ\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$161.725 million and decrease the department's Fossil Energy program by \$323.450 million.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. Fossil Energy Research and Development is appropriated \$634.6 million, a level that is \$354.6 million above the president's budget request, and \$33.4 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating both of the programs involved in this transfer, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

40. [Quigley \(D-IL\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$921 million and decrease the department's nuclear Weapons Activities account by \$921 million.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating this program, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

41. [Polis \(D-CO\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$986.3 million and decrease the department's nuclear Weapons Activities account by \$352 million and the Fossil Energy program by \$634.6 million.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. Fossil Energy Research and Development is appropriated \$634.6 million, a level that is \$354.6 million above the president's budget request, and \$33.4 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating both of the programs involved in this transfer, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

42. [McKinley \(R-WV\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Fossil Energy program by \$33.4 million (to match FY17 levels) and decrease the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$33.4 million.

Fossil Energy Research and Development is appropriated \$634.6 million, a level that is \$354.6 million above the president's budget request, and \$33.4 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating this program, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

43. [Perry \(R-PA\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$15 million and decrease the departmental administration account by an equal amount.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating this program, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

44. [Etsy \(D-CT\)](#), [Rosen \(D-NV\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$20 million and decrease the department's Fossil Energy program by \$40 million. According to the sponsor, the new EERE funds would be intended for the Advanced Manufacturing Office within EERE, which is funded in the bill at \$20 million over the president's request.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. Fossil Energy Research and Development is appropriated \$634.6 million, a level that is \$354.6 million above the president's budget request, and \$33.4 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating both of the programs involved in this transfer, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

45. [Larson \(D-CT\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$48 million of the funds within the Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy account should be used for the Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies program.

46. [Bonamici \(D-OR\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Program by \$9 million and decrease the departmental administration account by an equal amount. According to the sponsor, the increased funds would be intended for the Water Program within the EERE account.

EERE is appropriated \$1.104 billion, a level that is \$467.8 million above the president's budget request, and \$986.3 million below the FY 2017 enacted level. The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating this program, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

47. [Takano \(D-CA\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that funding should be provided for the Energy Innovation Hubs in the Office of Science within the EERE account. According to the committee report, "The recommendation does not include funds for the Energy Innovation Hub, but includes additional funding within Nuclear Energy Advanced Modeling and Simulation for competitive awards to continue similar activities."

The [RSC Budget](#) called for eliminating the EERE program, and many Members have supported appropriations amendments to do so in previous years.

48. [DeSaulnier \(D-CA\)](#): Would increase funding for the Department of Energy's Science account by \$1.2 million and decrease the department's administrative account by an equal amount. According to the sponsor, the increased Science funds are intended to be used for Albert Einstein Distinguished Educator Fellowship Program.
49. [Jackson Lee \(D-TX\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$1 million in Department of Energy departmental administration funding should be used to address urban and rural environmental concerns.
50. [Lujan Grisham \(D-NM\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$98 million in National Nuclear Safety Administration funding should be used for facility construction. Such funding could be used for construction at the [Albuquerque Complex on Kirtland Air Force Base](#) in Albuquerque, NM.
51. [Foster \(D-IL\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$10 million in National Nuclear Safety Administration Weapons Activities funding should be used to fight bioterror.
52. [Garamendi \(D-CA\)](#): Would reduce funding for nuclear Weapons Activities – Recapitalization by \$118 million and increase funding for non-proliferation activities by the same amount.
53. [Rosen \(D-NV\)](#): Would reduce the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal Account by \$30 million and transfer that amount to the spending reduction account. According to the committee report: "To restart the adjudication of the Yucca Mountain license application, the Committee provides the requested levels of \$90,000,000 within Nuclear Waste Disposal, \$30,000,000 within Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal, and \$30,000,000 within the NRC."
54. [Pingree \(D-ME\)](#): Would strike Section 505, which prohibits funds from being expended to implement the National Ocean Policy. Similar prohibitions have been included in House-passed appropriations bills in recent years.
55. [Kihuen \(D-NV\)](#): Would strike Section 507, which prohibits funds from being used to prevent the Yucca Mountain waste repository from being put into service in the future.
56. [Jackson Lee \(D-TX\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that \$3 million in Army Corps of Engineers – Civil – Investigations account should be used for post-disaster watershed assessment studies.
57. [Jackson Lee \(D-TX\)](#): Is intended to express Congressional intent that an additional \$100 million in Army Corps of Engineers – Civil – Constructions account should be used for flood control projects. The underlying bill provides \$175 million for such activities within that account.
58. [Jackson Lee \(D-TX\)](#): This amendment would prohibit funds from being used against policies indicated in the Department of Energy Organization Act.
59. [Rep. Gosar \(R-AZ\)](#): This amendment would prohibit the use of funds to prepare, propose or promulgate guidance or regulations pertaining to the social cost of carbon, including references to or reliance on Technical Support Document: Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact

Analysis Under Executive Order 12866, Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866, Revised Draft Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Effects of Climate Change in NEPA Reviews, Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866, Addendum to the Technical Support Document on Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866: Application of the Methodology to Estimate the Social Cost of Methane and the Social Cost of Nitrous Oxide, or Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866.

60. [Rep. DelBene \(D-WA\)](#), [Rep. Hunter \(R-CA\)](#) – This amendment would ensure that the Army Corps of Engineers uses taxpayer dollars in the procurement of American-made anchor chain.

**Key Vote No:** [Club for Growth](#)

61. [Rep. Burgess \(R-TX\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to enforce [430.32\(x\) of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations](#), which regulates lightbulbs. The prohibition would also extend to [42 U.S.C. 6295\(i\)\(1\)\(B\)](#), which also established standards for lightbulbs.
62. [Rep. Blackburn \(R-TN\)](#) – Requires Division D’s discretionary spending to be reduced by one percent.

**Key Vote Yes:** [FreedomWorks](#)

63. [Rep. Perry \(R-PA\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to enforce the final rule entitled [Energy Conservation Program: Test Procedures for Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps](#).
64. [Rep. Francis Rooney \(R-FL\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to enforce the [Davis-Bacon Act](#), which requires contractors to pay their laborers no less than the local wages on contracts over \$2000.

The [RSC Budget](#) would repeal Davis-Bacon, stating “Removing this job-killing requirement would increase job creation and allow taxpayer dollars to go further for infrastructure projects.”

65. [Rep. Francis Rooney \(R-FL\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to enforce [Executive Order 13502](#), which relates to project labor agreements for federal construction projects.
66. [Rep. Stivers \(R-OH\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds for the [Cape Wind Energy Project](#) located in Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts.
67. [Rep. Gallagher \(R-WI\)](#) – Reallocates \$10 million from the administration of the Department of Energy to fund demonstrations of advanced energy storage systems under the DOE Office of Electricity Deliverability and Energy Reliability.

The [RSC budget](#) would eliminate the Office of Electricity Deliverability and Energy Reliability, stating “many of these activities would be more appropriately handled by the private sector or other agencies”.

68. [Rep. Brownley \(D-CA\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds in violation of [section 2102](#) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) of 2014, which relates to the operation and maintenance of harbor projects, or in violation of [33 U.S.C. 2238](#), which authorizes the funding of the Saint Lawrence Seaway.

69. [Rep. Davis \(R-IL\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to require economic re-evaluation of projects authorized under title VIII [Water Resources Development Act of 2007](#). The title authorizes the construction of navigation improvements in the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Water-Way System.
70. [Rep. Mitchell \(R-MI\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to delay the release of the [Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study Brandon Road Study](#).
71. [Rep. Watson Coleman \(D-NJ\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to enforce [Executive Order 13766](#), which expedited environmental reviews and approvals for high priority infrastructure projects.
72. [Rep. DeSantis \(R-FL\)](#) – Prohibits expending funds to pay the Director of Civil Works' salary within the Army Corps of Engineers. According to the amendment sponsor, this position is duplicative.

---

**NOTE:** *RSC Legislative Bulletins are for informational purposes only and should not be taken as statements of support or opposition from the Republican Study Committee.*

###